(31)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Cr.M.P.No. 115 of 2007

APPLICANT/ PETITIONER	Suraj Chauhan, S/o Nanaku Chauhan, Aged about 25 years, R/o Village Vijaypur, Thana – Chakradhar Nagar, District – Raigarh (C.G.)
	Versus
NON-APPLICANT/ RESPONDENT	State of Chhattisgarh, Through – District Magistrate, Raigarh (C.G.)

PETITION UNDER SECTION 482 OF Cr.P.C.

Appearance:

Shri Shri Pravesh Bhatt and Shri T.R. Dahire, Counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Arun Sao, Govt. Advocate for the State on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER
(04.07.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

Heard.

Being aggrieved with the order dated 10/01/2007 passed by the Fourth Additional Sessions Judge (FTC), Raigarh in Criminal Revision No.178/2006, arising out of order dated 8/8/2006 passed in Criminal Case No.666/2004 by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Raigarh, whereby, the said Court had framed charges under Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, the petitioner/accused has filed this Criminal Miscellaneous Petition.

It appears that on 3/5/2004, Shyamlal Chouhan, who is the father of Ku. Madhuri Chouhan, lodged a First Information Report against the petitioner that he demanded a motorcycle on the occasion of his marriage with Ku. Madhuri Chouhan and when the said demand was not fulfilled by them, he took back the *Barat* and ultimately, marriage could not be performed. Such allegation in the First Information Report is supported by the statement of Ku. Madhuri Chouhan, which was recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

32

After going through the contents of the First Information Report as also the statement of Ku. Madhuri Chouhan and further after going through the impugned orders passed by the two Courts below, I am of the opinion that present is not a case in which interference is required by this Court under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The petition is not worth admission and the same is dismissed at the motion stage itself.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Shyna